UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/564,151	01/11/2006	Kazuhiro Obae	1830.1017	6110
21171 STAAS & HAI	7590 09/27/201 SEY LLP	EXAMINER		
SUITE 700		KASSA, TIGABU		
WASHINGTO	RK AVENUE, N.W. N, DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1619	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/27/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/564,151	OBAE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
TIGABU KASSA	1619	

	TIGABU KASSA	1619				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>11 August 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(the status of the status of t	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE ').	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII	n. LED WITHIN TWO			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date whave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	causo			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO		cause			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	**	ducing or simplifying tl	ne issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.116	21 See attached Notice of Non Co	mpliant Amondment (OTOL 324)			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		impliant Americinent (i	101-324).			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate, t	timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	kplanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>13-15 and 24</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>1-6 and 16-19</u> .						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).			
10.	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:						
	/Cherie M. Woodward/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1647				

Continuation of 3 (a-c) and 11: Continuation of 3 (a-c) and 11: Applicant's proposed claim amendments do not place the case in condition for allowance or in better condition for appeal.

Claim 13 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement, for the reasons of record and the reasons set forth herein.

Applicant argues that the Office Action is incomplete because other relevant parts of the Specification were not taken into consideration. The phrase "in a pressure less than atmospheric pressure" is further supported by Examples 2-6. In these examples, the pressure is reduced to 600 mmHg (0.79 atm) just before the starch raw material is heat treated. Examples 2-6 describe that the potato starch is packed in a stainless steel vat and then the pressure is reduced. The examples provide the "point of reference," which the Examiner argues is missing. That is, the examples clearly convey that the potato starch is packed in the vat at atmospheric pressure. Accordingly, any reduction in the pressure results in a pressure less than atmospheric pressure.

Applicant's arguments filed on 08/11/2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The point of reference that applicant delineated above is a single point reference which is narrower in scope with what applicant recited in the claim "in a pressure less than atmospheric pressure". One of ordinary skill in the art would consider this phrase to encompass any pressure which is less than atmospheric pressure. The examiner suggests to applicant that if the reduced pressure is 600 mmHg applicant should make the claim amendment to that specific reference point. Applicant is also reminded that any amendments must be fully supported by the specification as originally filed.

Claims 13-15 and 24 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baensch et al. (US Patent 5759581) as evidenced by Kesselmans et al. (US Patent No. 6822091), for the reasons of record and the reasons set forth herein.

Applicant's amendments of claim 13 reciting "10% or more of all functional starch powder particles observable in the field of vision at a magnification of 600 are starch particles comprises starch particles with a particle size of 50 to 500 um" is new matter. Applicant's claim amendment does not have support in the original disclosure for this recitation. The support applicant's cited (paragraph 0061) does not provide support for the above recitation. Pargraph 0061 recites "As to the shape of starch particles constituting the functional starch powder of the invention, the starch particles have more preferable characteristic in that they have a structure formed by indenting a sphere or an oval in one or more parts thereof. As to the particle size of the starch particles constituting the functional starch powder of the invention, the functional starch powder preferably includes starch particles having a particle size in the range of 50 to 500 um, preferably 50 to 300 um, more preferably 50 to 100 um, when observed by SEM (scanning electron microscope) at a magnification of 200 to 1500. As to the content of such starch particles having a structure formed by indenting a sphere or an oval in one or more parts thereof, the functional starch powder preferably contains such starch particles so that for example, when it is observed at a modification of 600, the proportion (% by the number of particles) of such starch particles to all particles observable in the field of vision may be 5% or more, preferably 10% or more. The content (% by the number of particles) measured by such observation is considered as the content (% by weight) based on the weight of all the starch particles."

Applicant's arguments filed on 08/11/2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The 10% or more amount disclosed in the original specification is with regard to the amount of particles that have indenting in spherical or oval shape it is not a recitatation disclosing that 10% or more of the starch particles when observed at magnification of 600 using scanning electron microscopy have a size of 50 to 500 um. As a matter of fact the particle size description is clearly addressed in the sentences set forth above the section dealing with the shape of the particles which recites that "As to the particle size of the starch particles constituting the functional starch powder of the invention, the functional starch powder preferably includes starch particles having a particle size in the range of 50 to 500 um, preferably 50 to 300 um, more preferably 50 to 100 um, when observed by SEM (scanning electron microscope) at a magnification of 200 to 1500."

The amendments would result in overcoming the rejections under 35 USC § 103 (a) if the amendments were entered but the rejections are maintained because the examiner will be required to further search and consider in order to formulate a new set of rejections for example a new matter rejection under 35 USC § 112 first paragraph and others based on the new claim amendment. Therefore, due to the reason set forth above the amendment cannot be entered.